# Assessing the value of whole genome sequence in selecting for age at puberty in tropically adapted beef heifers

### C. Warburton<sup>A</sup> and B. Hayes<sup>A</sup>

<sup>A</sup> Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD, Australia

# INTRODUCTION

### Age at puberty (AP)

- Age at which a heifer begins her reproductive life
- Favourably correlated to lifetime reproductive performance
- Heritability estimates range from  $0.11^{(1)}$  to  $0.66^{(2)}$
- Intensive and difficult to measure

### Genomic selection (GS) for AP

• Genomic selection (GS) is the use of DNA information to

### Data

• Queensland Smart Futures research herd (SMF) <sup>(3)</sup>

METHODS

- Brahman, Droughtmaster, Santa Gertrudis
- n=3695 measured for AP
- Beef CRC<sup>(4)</sup> ullet
  - Brahman n=868 measured for AP
  - Tropical Composite n=960 measured for AP

identify animals with high merit for AP

- Has been shown to be viable in tropically adapted heifers
- Could potentially improve cow lifetime productivity
- However, the accuracy of selection has been low <sup>(2)</sup>

# OBJECTIVE

Determine if whole genome sequence (WGS) data may be used to improve selection accuracy of age at puberty (AP) across a number of tropically adapted beef breeds

#### Genotypes

- All heifers imputed to 728,785 SNP (Bovine HD array)
- Further imputed to 23 million SNP (WGS)

### Statistical analyses

 $AP = 1_n \mu + age + herd_yr_season + animal + e$ 

### **Genomic Selection**



## RESULTS

Table 1: Number of significant whole genome sequence

#### Table 2: Average prediction accuracy for age at puberty in **Smart Futures heifers by analysis**

#### **SNP** discovered in Beef CRC heifers by chromosome

Chromosome	Number of SNP
3	4
5	87
14	1460
21	40
TOTAL	1591

- ~92% of significant WGS SNP are on chromosome 14
- Potentially large numbers of redundant WGS SNP due to linkage disequilibrium (LD) with significant SNP

## CONCLUSION

Incorporation of WGS SNP beneficial in improving accuracy of AP prediction, especially in low density marker

Analysis	<b>Prediction Accuracy ± SE</b>
6K	$0.36 \pm 0.04$
6K plus WGS	$0.40 \pm 0.05$
50K	$0.41 \pm 0.05$
50K plus WGS	$0.43 \pm 0.06$
800K	$0.42 \pm 0.05$
800K plus WGS	$0.44 \pm 0.05$

- Prediction accuracy improved with both increasing marker panel density and inclusion of WGS SNP
- Most benefit of WGS inclusion was seen in lower density marker panels

## REFERENCES

- 1. Engle, B.N, Corbet, N.J, Allen, J.M et al. (2019). Journal of animal science 97, 90.
- 2. Farah, M.M, Swan, A.A, Fortes, M.R.S et al. (2016). Animal Genetics 47, 3-11.

#### panels

- More research is required to determine if alternative methods of WGS SNP selection can further improve prediction accuracy for AP
- 3. Burns, BM, Corbet, NJ, Allen, JM et al. (2016) Final Report University of Queensland, Saint Lucia.
- 4. Johnston, D, Barwick, SA, Corbet, N, et al. (2009). Anim. Prod. Sci. 49, 399-412.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Dr Brian Burns, Nicholas Corbet, Jack Allen, Alan Laing and Geoffry Fordyce for the data set used in this research.



qaafi.uq.edu.au

c.warburton@uq.edu.au



The Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation (QAAFI) is a research institute of The University of Queensland (UQ), supported by the Queensland Department of Agriculture and Fisheries.

